USE OF SOME PLANT PROTEIN SOURCES IN BROILER DIETS

Abd – Elsamee, M. O.; M.R.M. Ibrahim; and F. M. Abd- Elkrim Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.

ABSTRACT

A total number of 540 one - day-old unsexed Arbor Aceres broiler chicks were used in this study to compare the effect of partially replacing of soybean by – product (Okara) or sunflower meal for soy bean meal on broiler performance, nutrients digestibility and economic efficiency. Birds were distributed randomly and divided equally into nine experimental groups with three replicates of 20 birds each . Okara or sunflower meal was used as a substitution of soybean meal at levels of 20 ,40 ,60 and 80 % for each during both growing (1 – 4 weeks of age) and finishing (5 – 7 weeks of age) periods . All experimental diets were compared with the control group, which formulated without replacing okara or sunflower meal for soybean meal . Accordingly, a total of 9 experimental diets were formulated in this study. Birds were allocated in a littered floor poultry house in an open system under the same conditions

of management up to 7 weeks of age.

During the whole experimented period (1-7 weeks of age), feeding graded levels of okara meal replacing for soybean meal at levels of either 20, 40,60 or 80 % in broiler diets significantly (P< 0.05) decreased the average values of body weight, body weight gain and feed intake. While, the feed conversion values were insignificantly differ with using 20, 40 or 60 % okara or sunflower meal as replacing for soybean meal compared to control. Data showed that replacing sunflower meal for soybean meal at levels of 60 or 80 % in broiler diets significantly (P < 0.05) decreased the body weight and body weight gain values. However, the differences were insignificant as replacing sunflower meal for soybean meal at levels of 20 and 40 % compared to control group. There were no significant differences in feed intake values due to using different levels of sunflower meal as replacing for soybean meal compared to control. Results showed slight improvement in digestibility of CP and EE and nitrogen balance (NB) with using different levels of okara . While, the use of sunflower meal significantly (P<0.05) decreased most nutrient digestibility coefficients specially with high levels of substitution (60 or 80 %). Results indicated that replacing soybean meal by 60 or 80 % okara or 40% sunflower meal recorded the best values of economic efficiency.

Keywords: sunflower, okara, feeding value, , broiler, performance.

INTRODUCTION

In the last years, there has bean a tendency to avoid using ingredients of animal origin in poultry diets, to meet consumer demands for healthier food products. Thus, the search and use of plant protein sources in poultry diets are necessary. Soybean is an oleaginous seed available world wide, containing approximately 44 % protein, essential amino acids, calcium, phosphorus, iron, vitamin A and B. It is considered as an important source of human and animal feed. Okara, the residue left from ground soybeans after extraction of water extractable fraction used to produce soy milk and Tofu (Desmond, 1999). There is a little information about the use of okara in poultry nutrition. Some studies were conducted by Ma et al. (1996) and Farhat et al. (1998) to evaluate the use of okara in poultry nutrition. They

found that although okara has less protein (34 %) than soybean meal (44%) but its protein quality is high for animal or poultry feeding. They found also that using okara in a balanced diet did not affect on live body weight or carcass yield of pekin or moscovy ducklings. Also, sunflower meal (SFM) is a by - product of sunflower oil extraction. It can be used as a feed ingredient to replace soy bean meal (SBM) in poultry diets . A major advantage of using (SFM) in poultry diets in addition to its lower price compared to (SBM) is that free from toxic compounds and anti nutritional factors which may affect the chick performance. Gheyasuddin et al. (1970) found that SFM could be used profitable up to 20 % of broiler or layer diets without negative effects on thier performance. EL-Sherif et al. (1995). El-Deek et al. (1999). Zatari and Sell (1990) and Vieira et al. (1992) recorded that high levels of SFM can be used successfully in broiler chick and lying hen diets if adequate levels of dietary lysine and metabolizable energy are provided. Also, Vetesi et al. (1999) found that body weight feed conversion, slaughter value, egg production and hatchability of ducks and geese did not change significantly even at 100 % replacement of SFM for SBM. The low concentration of lysine and higher fiber content of SFM may restrict its higher inclusion rate in broiler diets, also the high levels of substitution for SBM in broiler and layer diets by SFM are limited because of its high fiber and low ME contents (Smith 1986).

Accordingly, the aim of the present experiment was to study the effect of substituting of okara or sunflower meal for soybean meal at levels of 20, 40, 60 and 80 % for each on broiler performance, nutrients, digestibility and

economic efficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present work was conducted in the Poultry Nutrition Research Unit, Experimental Station , Faculty of Agriculture , Cairo University, during April and May,2004. A total number of 540 one day old unsexed Arbor Acers chicks of nearly similar live body weight (40 gm) were used to study the effect of replacement soybean by-product (Okara) or sunflower meal for soypean meal at levels of 20, 40, 60 and 80 % for each on broiler performance, carcass characteristics, nutrients digestibility and economic efficiency. The reported chemical composition of okara and sunflower meal is presented in Table (1). Birds were randomly distributed into equal 9 treatments each contained 60 birds in three replicates of 20 birds each .Chicks were allocated in a littered floor poultry house in an open system under the same management condition . Water and feed in mash form were offered ad- libitum and artificial lighting was proved 24 hours daily all over the experimental period, which lasted for 7 weeks of age. Birds were fed on growing diets (from 1 - 4 weeks of age) containing 23 % CP and 3100 Kcal/Kg, then switched to the finisher diets (from 5 - 7 weeks of age) containing 20 % CP and 3200 Kcal /Kg. Broiler chick fed on a diet without Okara or sunflower meal were considered as a control group. In all experimental diets, vitamin ,minerals, L- lysine and DL-methionine were added to cover the requirements of broiler chicks according to the strain recommended catalog.

Table (1) Chemical analysis of ingredients on dry matter basis (%).

Ingredients	CP	EE	CF	Meth.	Lys.	Ca	Av. Ph	ME K.cal/kg
Soybean meal*	44	1.00	7.30	0.65	2.93	0.29	0.27	2230
Sunflower meal*	38	2.90	12.0	0.90	1.50	0.37	0.26	2320
Corn gluten*	60	2.50	2.00	1.90	1.00	-	0.19	3720
Okara**	34	9.50	14.50	0.50	2.30	0.32	0.21	2000

^{*}According to NRC, 1994. **According to Farhat et al. (1998) and Desmond (1999).

The experimental diets and their chemical composition are presented in Tables 2 and 3. Live body weight and feed intake were recorded weekly; besides records of daily mortality were obtained. Body weight gain and feed intake were used to calculate feed conversion ratio (Feed intake/body weight gain).

At the end of 49 days of age 3 cocks of each treatment were chosen to determine the digestibility coefficients of nutrients and nitrogen balance (nitrogen retention/nitrogen intake x100) of the experimental diets where feed and dried excreta were analysed according to the official methods of AOAC, (1990). Nitrogen – free extract was calculated according to Abou–Raya and Galal (1971). Fecal nitrogen was determined according to Jakobson *et al.* (1960). Finally, all treatments were economically evaluated by using the net revenue per unit of total costs. All data were subjected to analysis of variance and Duncan,s multible range test (Duncan, 1955) procedures within the statistical analysis system of (SAS, 1996).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Broiler Chicks Performance:

Body weight (BW) and body weight gain (BWG):

The effects of dietary treatments on broiler performance during the growing period (1 – 4 weeks of age) are presented in Table (4). Results showed that broiler chicks fed diets replaced okara meal for soybean meal at levels of 60 and 80 % significantly (P < 0.05) decreased the average values of live body weight (BW) and body weight gain (BWG) compared to control group. While, the average values were insignificantly with replacing levels of 20 and 40 % for BW and BWG compared to control group. Also, replacing sunflower meal for soybean meal at levels of 60 and 80 % significantly (P < 0.05) decreased average values of BW and BWG compared with control group. While, there were no significant differences in BW and BWG values when broiler chicks were fed diets containing 20 or 40 % sunflower meal as replacing for soybean meal compared to control.

At the finishing period (5-7 weeks of age) the obtained results showed that replacing okara meal for soybean meal at levels of 20, 40, 60 and 80% in broiler diets significantly (P<0.05) decreased the average of BWand BWG values compared to control group. While, insignificant differences were observed with replacing sunflower meal for soybean meal at levels of 20 or 40% for both BW and BWG values. But replacing sunflower meal for soybean meal at levels of 60 and 80% in broiler diets were significantly (P<0.05) decreased average live BW and BWG values compared to control group.

Cont. Okara Okara Sunflower meal Yellow corn 54.40 53.10 54.70 54.00 54.00 54.00 54.00 54.00 57.00 50.40 80% 56.00 56.00 52.00 12.00	Ingredients	Treatments United Growing period (1 – 4 weeks).				Treatments	200	36	- I home	4 week
54.40 53.10 51.70 60% 80% 20% 40% 60% 80% 20% 40% 50.40 30.00 54.00 50.40 40% 50.40 50.40 50.40 50.40 50.40 50.40 50.40 50.40 50.40 50.40 60.00 54.00 53.30 60.00 53.30 60.00 53.30 60.00 12.00 60.00 24.00 18.00 60.00 24.00 18.00 60.00 24.00 18.00 12.00 60.00 24.00 18.00 12.00 60.00 12.00		Cont.		Ö	kara	1 can licins				
54.40 53.0 57.0 50.40 50.40 50.40 50.40 50.40 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 40% 53.30 40% 53.30 53.30 53.30 53.30 53.30 53.30 53.30 53.30 53.30 12.00 50.00 53.30 18.00 12.00 50.00 53.30 18.00 53.30 18.00 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>%06</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Sunflow</th><th>ver meal</th><th></th></t<>			%06					Sunflow	ver meal	
30.00 24.00 50.40 49.00 54.00 63.30 8.20 6.00 12.00 6.00 24.00 18.00<	Yellow corn	54 40	53 10	10 /0	%09	%08	20%	40%	%09	80%
2.3.0 18.00 12.00 6.00 24.00 18.00 8.20 9.40 10.60 11.70 12.90 8.90 12.00 8.20 9.40 10.60 11.70 12.90 8.90 9.60 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.80 4.00 3.10 3.00 1.45 1.45 1.40 1.40 1.80 1.80 1.60 1.145 1.45 1.40 1.40 1.80 1.80 1.60 1.145 1.45 1.40 1.40 1.50 1.60 1.60 1.145 1.45 1.40 1.40 1.80 1.80 1.60 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.30 0.30 0.15 0.16 0.20 0.23 0.25 0.20 0.30 0.30 1.00 1.00 1.00	Soybean meal 44%	30.00	24.00	20.70	50.40	49.00	54.00	53.30	52 AO	50.00
8.20 9.00 12.00 18.00 24.00 - 8.20 9.40 10.60 11.70 12.90 8.90 9.60 3.40 3.50 3.70 3.80 4.00 3.10 3.00 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.80 1.60 1.50 1.45 1.45 1.40 1.40 1.60 1.60 1.60 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.80 1.80 1.60 1.60 1.45 1.45 1.40 1.40 1.60 1.60 1.60 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.15 0.16 0.20 0.25 0.25 0.10 0.10 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.34 1.34 1.34 1.34 1.34 1.34 <td>Okara (34%)</td> <td>00.00</td> <td>24.00</td> <td>18.00</td> <td>12.00</td> <td>6.00</td> <td>24.00</td> <td>18 00</td> <td>1200</td> <td>32.20</td>	Okara (34%)	00.00	24.00	18.00	12.00	6.00	24.00	18 00	1200	32.20
8.20 9.40 10.60 11.70 12.90 8.90 9.60 3.40 10.60 11.70 12.90 8.90 9.60 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.20 8.90 9.60 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.20 9.60 1.45 1.45 1.40 1.70 1.80 1.80 1.60 1.60 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.20 0.20 0.30 0.30 0.15 0.15 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.10	Sunflower meal (38%)		6.00	12.00	18.00	24.00		200	12.00	0.00
0.20 9.40 10.60 11.70 12.90 8.90 9.60 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.80 1.60 1.60 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.80 1.80 1.60 1.60 1.45 1.45 1.40 1.80 1.80 1.60 1.60 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.07 0.05 0.10 0.10 0.15 0.16 0.20 0.20 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.16 0.10 0.10 0.07 0.05 0.10 0.10 0.15 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.30 0.30 0.16 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1.02 1.03 3102 23.2 23.2 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1	Corn aluten (60 %)					, '	6.00	1200		
3.40 3.50 3.70 3.80 4.00 3.00 3.00 1.70 1.70 1.70 1.80 1.80 1.60 1.60 1.45 1.40 1.40 1.80 1.60 1.60 1.60 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.00 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.15 0.15 0.20 0.23 0.25 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.16 0.10 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.15 0.15 0.20 0.23 0.25 0.10 <t< td=""><td>Vedetable oil</td><td>8.20</td><td>9.40</td><td>10.60</td><td>11.70</td><td>12.90</td><td>8 90</td><td>0.50</td><td>18.70</td><td>24.00</td></t<>	Vedetable oil	8.20	9.40	10.60	11.70	12.90	8 90	0.50	18.70	24.00
1.70 1.70 1.70 1.80 1.80 1.60 3.00 1.45 1.45 1.40 1.40 1.80 1.60 1.60 1.60 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.15 0.10 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.15 0.10 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.15 0.16 0.10 0.07 0.05 0.20 0.30 0.30 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 0.10 23.1 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 23.1 <td>C C Shoot of</td> <td>3.40</td> <td>3.50</td> <td>3.70</td> <td>3.80</td> <td>4 00</td> <td>340</td> <td>3.00</td> <td>10.30</td> <td>11.00</td>	C C Shoot of	3.40	3.50	3.70	3.80	4 00	340	3.00	10.30	11.00
1.45 1.45 1.40 1.40 1.40 1.60 1.60 1.60 1.60 0.30 0.	Ur- Ca. phosphate	1.70	1.70	1.70	180	1 80	0.10	3.00	2.80	2.60
0.30 0.30 <th< td=""><td>Limestone</td><td>1.45</td><td>1.45</td><td>1.40</td><td>1 40</td><td>00.4</td><td>00.1</td><td>1.60</td><td>1.60</td><td>1.60</td></th<>	Limestone	1.45	1.45	1.40	1 40	00.4	00.1	1.60	1.60	1.60
0.30 0.30 <th< td=""><td>Naci</td><td>0.30</td><td>030</td><td>030</td><td>000</td><td>04.1</td><td>1.50</td><td>1.50</td><td>1.48</td><td>1.50</td></th<>	Naci	0.30	030	030	000	04.1	1.50	1.50	1.48	1.50
0.10 0.10 0.10 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.15 0.10 0.10 0.010 0.020 0.20 0.05 0.10 0.10 100 100 100 100 100 100 0.10 0.10 23.1 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.1 3103 3102 3103 3102 3102 3102 3102 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 5.92 6.56 7.25 7.84 8.52 5.78 5.79 3.53 3.98 4.40 4.83 5.25 3.80 4.12 1.01 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.04 1.02 1.02 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.50 0.57 0.58 0.56 0.55 0.58 0.56 0.56 <	Vit & Min.premix*	0.30	030	030	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	030
0.15 0.15 0.15 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.10 100	DL-Methionine	0.10	010	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	030	030
23.1 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.1 100 <th< td=""><td>L-Lysine HCL</td><td>0 15</td><td>0.15</td><td>0.00</td><td>70.0</td><td>0.05</td><td>0.10</td><td>0.10</td><td>0.05</td><td>000</td></th<>	L-Lysine HCL	0 15	0.15	0.00	70.0	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.05	000
23.1 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.1 100 <t< td=""><td>Total</td><td>100</td><td>400</td><td>0.20</td><td>0.23</td><td>0.25</td><td>0.20</td><td>0.30</td><td>0.37</td><td>0.00</td></t<>	Total	100	400	0.20	0.23	0.25	0.20	0.30	0.37	0.00
23.1 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.1 3103 3102 3103 3102 3102 3102 3102 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 5.92 6.56 7.25 7.84 8.52 5.78 5.79 3.53 3.98 4.40 4.83 5.25 3.80 4.12 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.45 0.46 0.56 0.57 0.58 0.56 0.56 0.56 0.56 1.23 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.20 1.20 1.20 1935 1860 1802 0.96 0.93 0.98 0.97 1935 1893 1870 1	Calculated analysis**		001	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
3103 3102 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.1 3103 3102 3103 3102 3102 3102 3102 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 592 6.56 7.25 7.84 8.52 5.78 5.79 353 3.98 4.40 4.83 5.25 3.80 4.12 1.01 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 0.46 0.46 0.45 0.46 0.45 0.45 0.56 0.57 0.58 0.56 0.56 0.56 1.23 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.21 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.96 0.97 1935 1860 1802 1725 1893 1870	2P%	22.4	000							
3102 3103 3102 3100 3100 3100 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 5.92 6.56 7.25 7.84 8.52 5.78 5.79 3.53 3.98 4.40 4.83 5.25 3.80 4.12 1.01 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 0.46 0.46 0.45 0.46 0.45 0.45 0.56 0.57 0.58 0.56 0.56 0.56 1.23 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.21 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.95 0.56 1935 1860 1802 1725 1893 1870	AE Kcal/kg	2403	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.1	23.2	
5.92 6.56 7.25 7.84 134 142	C/P ratio	134	3102	3103	3102	3100	3100	3102	3100	24.00
3.53 3.98 4.40 4.83 5.25 5.78 5.79 1.01 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 4.12 0.46 0.46 0.45 0.46 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.56 0.57 0.58 0.56 0.55 0.58 0.56 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.95 0.56 0.56 1.23 1.21 1.21 1.20 1.21 1935 1.860 1.802 1.725 1.893 1.870	E%	502	134	134	134	134	134	134	134	124
1.01 1.02 1.03 <th< td=""><td>, %JC</td><td>20.0</td><td>0.00</td><td>1.25</td><td>7.84</td><td>8.52</td><td>5.78</td><td>5 79</td><td>571</td><td>101</td></th<>	, %JC	20.0	0.00	1.25	7.84	8.52	5.78	5 79	571	101
1.01 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.02 1.03 1.02 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.46 0.45 0.05 1.02 0.56 0.57 0.58 0.56 0.55 0.58 0.56 1.23 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.20 1.20 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.94 0.93 0.98 0.97 1935 1860 1802 1725 1652 1893 1870	% e.	0.00	3.98	4.40	4.83	5.25	380	242		19.6
0.46 0.46 0.45 0.46 0.46 0.45 1.02 0.56 0.57 0.58 0.56 0.55 0.45 0.45 0.45 1.23 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.20 1.20 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.94 0.93 0.98 0.97 1935 1860 1802 1725 1652 1893 1870	% BY %	1.01	1.02	1.02	1.02	104	100	4.12	4.40	4.68
0.56 0.57 0.58 0.56 0.40 0.45 0.45 1.23 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.20 0.56 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.94 0.93 0.98 0.97 1935 1860 1802 1725 1652 1893 1870	IV. FII.%	0.46	0.46	0.45	0.46		20.1	7.07	1.02	1.00
1.23 1.21 1.21 1.21 1.21 0.56 0.94 0.95 0.96 0.94 0.93 0.98 0.97 1935 1860 1802 1725 1652 1893 1870	Neth.%	0.56	0.57	0.58	0.40	0.40	0.45	0.45	0.54	0.45
0.94 0.95 0.95 0.96 0.94 0.93 0.98 0.97 1935 1860 1802 1725 1652 1893 1870	ys., %	1.23	121	101	2000	0.00	0.58	0.56	0.52	0.52
1935 1860 1802 1725 1652 1893 1870	leth. + Cys	0.94	0.95	17.1	1.2.1	1.20	1.20	1.21	1.20	120
1893 1870	rice/ ton (LE)	1935	1860	1802	1775	0.93	0.98	0.97	96.0	0.99
	. Mines			1	22	7001	1893	1870	1823	1793

*Vitamin and Mineral premix at 0.3 % of the diet supplies the following per Kg of the diet :Vii.A,12000IU; Vii.D,2000IU; Vii.E,40mg; Vii.K3 , 4mg; Vii.B2,6mg; Vii.B6, 4mg; Vii.B 12,.03mg; Niacin,30mg; Calpan,12mg; folic acid , 1.5mg; Biotin,.08mg; Coline chloride,700mg; Mn,10mg; Fe,40mg; **According to NRC (1994)

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30 (12), December, 2005

Ingredients	Treatments				Treatments				
	Cont.		ò	Okara			Sunflower meal	er meal	
X-11-		20%	40%	%09	80%	20%	40%	80%	%U8
Yellow corn	58.00	56.70	55.40	54.00	52.70	57.50	57.00	56 40	55.00
Soybean meal 44%	30.00	24.00	18.00	12.00	00.9	24 00	18.00	12.00	80.00
Okara (34%)	1	00.9	12.00	18 00	24 00			2.00	0.0
Sunflower meal (38%)	1		1		200.12	000		. 00	' '
Corn gluten (60 %)	2.80	4 00	5 00	6 20	7 40	0.00	12.00	18.00	24.00
Vegetable oil	5.50	5.60	5.80	6.10	2,10	5.40	4.10	4.70	5.40
Di- Ca. phosphate	1.70	170	1 70	170	4 70	0.30	0.10	2.00	4.80
Limestone	130	1 30	1 30	7 20	7.70	0/.1	1.70	1.70	1.70
Nacl	0.30	000	0.50	0.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30
Vit & Min promix*	0000	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Mothiopino	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
L veine HOL	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.05	,
L-Lysille HOL	1		0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.15	0 25	0.30
lotal	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Calculated analysis**								200	20
CP%	20.0	20.1	20.1	20.1	20.1	000	KO 00	7 00	000
ME Kcal/kg	3203	3200	3200	3200	3200	2000	20.04	20.1	20.0
C/P ratio	160	160	160	180	180	3200	3200	3200	3200
EE%	8 07	8 86	0.05	40.44	000	001	001	160	160
Cf%	3 50	0.00	9.33	10.14	10.63	7.98	7.89	7.90	7.82
Ca %	0.00	0.00	4.3/	4.80	5.22	3.81	4.10	4.37	4.66
AU DE 0/	0.90	0.85	0.94	0.94	0.93	0.95	96.0	0.97	0.97
AV. FII. 70	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45
Metn. %	0.46	.047	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.48	0.46	0.48	0.47
Lys., %	1.05	1.02	1.08	1.05	1.02	1.06	100	104	10.
Meth. + Cys	0.79	0.79	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.83	0.83	0.87	000
Price/ ton (LE)	1806 1730 1612 1534 1534 1780 1726 1700 1617	1730	1612	1534	1534	1780	1776	1700	1647

Vit.B2,6mg;VitB6, 4mg;Vit B12,.03mg; Niacin,30mg; Calpan,12mg; folic acid , 1.5mg; Biotin,.08mg;Coline chloride,700mg; Mn,10mg; Fe,40mg; Zn,70mg; Se,0.2mg;Co,0.25mg.

7499

performance .
broiler
treatments on
.). Effect of
Table (4

Items					Trea	Treatments				
	Cont.		ó	Okata			Sunflo	Sunflower meal		MSE
		20%	40%	%09	80%	20%	40%	,0US	7008	1
Growing period (1-4 weeks	4 weeks)						0/0-	0000	00.00	
IBW (g)	40	40	40	40	40	40	VV	40	0.7	
BW(g)	850 ^a	835ab	818 ^{ab}	790 ^{bc}	770°	840ab	ROOab	705 ^{bc}	7000	. 00
BWG (g)	810 ^a	795 ^{ab}	778 ^{ab}	750 ^{bc}	730°	ROOab	780ab	75,50c	7400	000
FI (g)	1430ª	1431 ^a	1416 ^a	1390ª	1305	142Ea	14209	1000	1901	38
FCR	1.76 ^b	1 80 ^{ab}	1 82ab	1 REab	1 019	1 70 ^b	1420 4 00ab	1390	1385	20
Finisher period (5 – 7 weeks	- 7 weeks)			200	5	0/.	1.02	1.64	1.8/	0.10
BW (a)	2050	4000b	40750	71010	00.1					
(6) (6)	2020	0061	10/2	1/85	1/46	2035	2015	1890°	1853 ^b	20
BWG(g)	12002	1065	1057	1005	976 ^d	1195ª	1195ª	1095 ^a	1078 ^{bc}	50
FI(g)	2710 ^{ab}	2484 ^{cd}	2460 ^{cd}	2382 ^d	2350 ^d	2748ª	2735ab	SOUPE	o Coope	200
EC.R.	0 2 C	2 22ab	2 22 ab	0 0 7 ab	000	OF CO	41.00	2000	0807	160
Overall moriod / 4	7	7.00	6.33	7.31	7.41	2.30	2.29	2.36	2.40	0.10
Overall perion (I - / weeks	WEERS).									
BWG (g)	2010 ^a	1860 ^b	1835 ^{bc}	1755°	1706°	1995 ^a	1975ª	1850 ^D	1812bc	00
FI(g)	4140 ^a	3915 ^b	3876 ^b	3772 ^b	3745°	4173ª	41559	3000ap	1013	000
FCR	2.06 ^b	2.10 ^{ab}	2.11 ^{ab}	2.15ab	2 19 ^a	2000	2 10ab	2330	29/3	7007
Mortality rate	3/60	ortality rate 3/60 4/60 4/60 6/60 5/60 2/60	4/60	09/9	5/60	2/60	2/60	2/60	2/60	0.0

Generally, the results concerning effect of experimental diets on average values of BWG throughout the experimental period (1 – 7 weeks of age) showed that replacing okara meal for soybean meal at levels of 20, 40, 60 and 80 % in broiler diets significantly (P < 0.05) decreased the average BWG values compared to control group. The same trend was noticed with replacing sunflower meal for soybean meal but only at levels of 60 and 80 % compared to control group. However, the differences were insignificantly as replacing sunflower meal for sovbean meal at levels of 20 or compared to control group. This may be due to decrease the amount of feed intake with using different levels of okara or high levels of sunflower meal as replacing for soybean meal in broiler chick diets. In this connection, Farhat et al. (1998) reported that the cartial replacing of okara meal for soybean meal at levels of 13.6 or 27.2 %in duckling diet resulted in insignificantly differences in live body weight. Also, the results of this study are inagreement with those obtained by Valdivie (1982). Michel and Sunde (1985) and Soliman et al. (1996) who found that body weight and body weight gain values of broiler chicks which fed diets containing 25 % SFM was not significantly differed from those fed control diet (without SFM) .

Feed intake (FI) and feed conversion ratio (FCR):

Average feed intake and feed conversion values of chicks fed experimental diets are presented in Table (4). The obtained results showed that there were no significant differences with replacing either okara or sunflower meal for soybean meal at levels of 20, 40 , 60 and 80 % in broiler diets during the growing period (1-4 weeks). While , during both finishing (5-7 weeks) and whole (1-7 weeks) periods there were significant (P<0.05) decreases in the average values of feed intake due to replacing okara for soybean meal at levels of 20 , 40 , 60 and 80 % compared to the control group . In addition replacing sunflower meal for soybean meal in broiler diets at levels of 20 and 40 % slightly increased feed intake but without significant differences compared to control group during the finishing and whole experimental periods . This may be due to the increase of crude fiber content in the diets which containing different levels of okara as replacing for soybean meal .

These results are somewhat coincided with these reported by Farhat et al. (1998) who found that replacement of okara meal for soybean meal in Pekin or Muscovy duckling diets significantly decreased feed intake compared to the control group. Also, these results are in a good agreement with those reported by Milner et al. (1986) and Sayed (2002) who noticed that there were no significant differences in feed intake with incorporation of sunflower meal in broiler diets at levels of 5, 10 and 15 % compared to the control diet (0 % sunflower meal).

As shown in Table (4), data showed that the average values of feed conversion ratio (FCR) of broiler chicks fed okara or sunflower meal as replacing for soybean meal in their diets at levels of 20, 40 and 60 % during either growing, finishing or whole periods were slightly inferior but without significant differences compared to the control group. While, when fed broiler chicks diets containing 80 % okara or sunflower meal as replacing for

soybean meal the average values of FCR were significantly (P < 0.05) inferior

compared to control group.

These results somewhat coincided with those reported by Rehman et al. (2002) and Adeniji (2004) who cited that sunflower meal could successfully replace 50 % of soybean meal in broiler diets. While , the 100 % substitution of soybean meal with sunflower meal increased feed intake required to gain weight.

Mortality Rate:

The numbers of dead birds as affected by dietary treatments are presented in Table (4). Results revealed that replacing okara meal for soy bean meal at levels of 20, 40, 60 or 80 % increased mortality rate compared to control group. While, using sunflower meal at different levels of replacing soybean meal decreased mortality rate. However, the postmortem examination indicated that the death was not related to dietary treatments. These results are agreement with those reported by Attia et al. (2003) Who found that mortality rate was not affected when broiler chicks fed on diet contained 0, 5, 10 or 15 % sunflower meal.

Digestion coefficients:

The digestion coefficients of nutrients for broiler chicks fed experimental diets are shown in Table (5). Results showed that there were slightly improvement in average values of CP and EE digestibility and nitrogen balance (NB) with using ókara as replacing for soybean meal . While, the use of sunflower meal instead of soybean meal in broiler chick diets significantly (P < 0.05) decreased almost nutrient digestibility and nitrogen balance values specially with high levels of substitution (60 or 80 % of soybean meal) . This may be due to the heating treatment of okara treatments which might improve the utilization of okara. In this connection, Soliman et al. (1996) found that digestibility coefficient of OM, CF, EE and CP were numerically increased when broiler chicks fed on diets containing 15 % sunflower meal with or without commercial enzymes. Recently , Aboul -Ela et al. (2005) reported that increasing sunflower meal from 8.5 to 17 or 25.5 % in Japanese quail diets resulted in a significant decrease in digestibility coefficient of DM, OM, CP and CF. Also, these results are in agreement with those reported by Ibrahim and EL - Zubeir (1991) who found that increasing sunflower meal up to 30 % in broiler diets decreased significantly nitrogen retention. On the other hand, Ologhobo (1991) noticed that metabolizable energy and nitrogen retention were not affected when broiler chicks fed diet supplemented with 0 or 25 % sunflower meal .

Economic Efficiency (EE):

The final body weight, length of the growing period and feeding cost generally among the most important factors involved in achievement of maximum efficiency of meet production. The effect of treatments on economic efficiency of meet production is presented in Table (6). It should be pointed that the economic efficiency values were calculated according to the prevailing market (Selling) price of one - kilogram live body weight which was 7.5 LE at the end of the experimental period (May 2004).

	l
	l
÷	l
0	l
0	ł
_	۱
9	٠
\overline{c}	١
Ξ	
n balanc	١
a	۱
0	l
e	١
0	4
0	Ì
=	l
=	١
nitrog	1
0	1
fficients and nitrogen balance	
a	1
S	l
=	1
7	ı
E	ı
2	1
Ξ	ı
e	ı
0	ł
C	١
ts on digestion coeffici	
ō	1
Ĕ	١
S	
0	
0)
0	١
_	١
E	ì
0	١
S	
E	
a	
ments	
=	
a	
e	
=	
4	
0	
+	
0	
fe	
Effec	
ш	
-	•
5	
-	,
0	
Ē	
F	

	MSE		1.27	0.31	2.7	6.2	13.2	4.1	1.7
		%08	93.9 ^{ab}	90.1 ^{cd}	79.1	58.4	24.85	76.5	46.5e
	er meal	%09	84.6 ^{ab}	90.4ab	84.9°	71.4 ^{bc}	25.39	82.2 _{bc}	60.3°
	Sunflowe		1	89.7 ^e 90.4 ^{ab}	1	1	1		
SIL		20%	84.4 _{ab}	90.2 _{bc}	89.3 ^e	75.5 ^b	27.79	76.7 ^d	62.9 ^{ab}
reatments		80%	84.0 ^{ab}	90.7a	92.9ª	72.0 ^{ab}	33.20	83.9 ^b	64.1 _{ab}
	ra	%09	84.9 ^{ab}	90.0 ^{cde}	92.7 ^a	78.4ª	31.48	76.8 ^d	63.8 _{ab}
	Okara	40%	85.17 ^a	90.5 ^{ab}	91.4 ^{ab}	77.3 ^{ab}	27.96	69.8 ^e	63.3 ^{ab}
		20%	83.4 ^b	89.8 ^{de}	90.8 ^{ab}	73.3abc	27.75	79.4 ^{cd}	62.9 ^b
	Cont.		83.7 ^b	89.7 ^e	90.1 ^{ab}	67.1°	24.11	77.9 ^{cd}	63.2 ^{ab}
tems			MO	DM	CP	EE	CF	NFE	NB

a, b, c Means in each row , bearing the same superscripts are not significantly different (P

Table (6): Effect of treatments on economic efficiency.

Items	Treatments	S							
	Cont.		OK	Okara		3	Sunflower meal	er meal	
		20%	40%	%09	%08	20%	40%	%09	80%
Fixed cost ^(a)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Feed cost (LE)	7.66	96.9	69.9	6.24	5.91	7.59	7.38	6.95	6.75
Total cost (LE)	10.16	9.46	9.19	8.74	8.41	10.09	9.88	9.45	9.25
BW (Kg)	2.05	1.90	1.88	1.79	1.75	2.04	2.02	1.89	1.85
Cost /Kg (LE)	4.96	4.98	4.89	4.88	4.81	4.95	4.80	2.00	5.00
Total revenue (LE) ^b	15.37	14.25	14.10	13.43	13.13	15.30	15.15	14.18	13.88
Net revenue (LE)	5.21	4.79	4.91	4.69	4.72	5.21	5.27	4.73	4.63
EE ^c , ,	0.51	0.51	0.53	0.54	0.56	0.52	0.53	0.50	0.50
Relative EE ^d	100	100	104	106	110	102	104	98	98

Results indicated that replacing okara for soybean meal at levels of 40, 60 or 80 % in broiler diets decreased the average value of total cost /Kg body weight and increased economic efficiency and relative economic efficiency values compared to the other experimental groups. This perhaps due to lower price / ton of the diet with using different levels of okara as replacing for soybean meal in broiler diets. While, replacing sunflower meal for soybean meal at levels of 60 or 80 % increased the total cost / kg body weight and decreased both economic efficiency and relative economic efficiency values compared to the control group .

These results coincided with those reported by Soliman et al. (1996), Sayed (2002) and Homoyouni and Shiveze (2003) who found that the cost of live kg body weight production in all treatments containing sunflower meal at levels of 8 or 16 % was higher than the control group. Nevertheless the results here in disagreed with those recorded by Khaled (2001) and Tyagi et al. (2003) who found that incorporating sunflower meal in broiler diets up to 10 % of the diet resulted in significantly increased the values of feed cost per unit gain of meat production compared to the control group.

On the basis of the results of this study, it could be concluded that broiler chicks could be fed diets containing 40 % sunflower meal as replacement of soybean meal without adversely effects on broiler performance, nutrients digestibility and economic efficiency. While, the use of okara as replacing for soybean meal at different levels(20, 40, 60 or 80%) decreased broiler performance and increased economic efficiency values.

REFERENCES

- Aboul Ela , S. S.; A.I. Attia .; M. M. Soliman ; and M . Fathi (2005). Effect of sunflower meal replacement for soybean meal with / Without enzyme supplementation on growing laying performance Of Japanese quail. Egypt. J. of Nutr. And Feeds.,8:79-103
- Abou- Raya, A. K.; and A. GH. Galal (1971). Evaluation of poultry Feeds in digestion trail with references to some factors involved. Egypt. J. Animal Prod., 11: 207 221.
- Adeniji , C.A. (2004). Performance and carcass characteristics of broiler Chicken fed high fiber sunflower seed cake diets. Nigerian. J. Anim. Prod.,31: 174 181 .
- AOAC (1990). Official Methods of Analysis. 15 th Ed. Published by AOAC, Washington, DC., USA.
- Attia ,YA.; AL Harthi MA; and A.A. EL Deek (2003). Nutritive Value of undehulled sunflower meal as affected by multienzyme supplementation to broiler diets. Archiv–fur– Geflugelkunde., 67:97 100
- Desmond , K.O. (1999). Characteristics and use of okara , the soybean residue from soy milk production- A review. J . Agric. Food Chem., 47:363 371.
- Duncan, D. B.(1955). Multiple range and multiple F- tests. Biometrics 11:1-42.

- EI Deek , A. A. ; M. Osman .; M. A. Abaza .; Y. A. Attia ; and A. M. Khalaf (1999) Inclusion of sunflower meal and commercial enzymes to egg type strain ration during growth period 2. Effect of on sexual imaturity, laying performance, internal egg shell qualities and economic returns. Egypt . poult. Sci., 19: 549 567...
- EL Sherif, k.; T. Gippert.; and D. Gerendai (1995). Effect of different levels of exepller sunflower seed meal in broiler diets. Allateenyesztes –es Takarmanyozas..44:427 435.
- Farhat. A.; L. Normand.; E.R. Chavez.; and S.P. Touchburn (1998).

 Nutrient Digestibility in food ingredients for pekin and Muscovey ducks

 Poult. Sci., 77: 1317 1376.
- Gheyasuddin, S.; C. M. Carter.; and K. F. Mattil (1970). Effect of several Variable on the extractability of sunflower seed protein. J., of Food Sci., 35: 453 456.
- Homoyouni , V.; and M. Shiveze (2003). Effect of various levels of highAnd low Fiber sunflower meal on broiler performance. J. of Agric., Sci., Islamic Azad Univ.,8:49-60
- Ibrahim, M.; and E. El Zubier (1991). High fiber sunflower seed meal In broiler chicks diets ,Animal Feed Sci. and Techn.,33: 343-347
- Jackobson , P. E.; S. G. Kirston.; and H. Nelson (1960). Digestibility trails With poultry. 322 bertning frafrogs laboratoriet, udgivet of stants Husdger bugsudvalg- Kabenhaven.
- Khaled, O. (2001). Effect of plant protein sources in all plant Protein ration on broiler performance. M.Sc. Thesis. Faculty of Agri. Cairo Univ.
- Ma, C.Y.; W. S. Liu.; K. C. Kwok; and F. Kwok (1996). Isolation and characterization of proteins from soy milk residue (Okara) Food Res. Int., 29: 799 – 805.
- Michel, J. N. and M. L. Sunde (1985). Sunflower meals in pullet diets. Poult. Sci., 64: 669- 674.
- Milner, M.; E. Kharchenko, and A. Toichkina (1986). Nutritive value of toasted sunflower meal for broiler chickens. Voprosy –Kormleniya shokhozyozistuennykh-zhivotnykh. 56-60
- National Research Council, NRC, (1994). Nutrient Requirements of poultry 9th Ed. National Academic Press, Washington, D.C.
- Ologhobo, A. D. (1991). Substitution of sunflower seed meal for soybean meal and groundnut meal in practical broiler diets. Archives of Animal Nutr., 41: 531-520.
- Rehman . A; B.M Bahatti . ;S . Hameed .; and S .Afzal (2002). Effect of Substation of soybean meal with canola meal on the performance broiler . Pakistan veterinary.J., 22: 52 -55
- Sayed M. A. M. (2002). Chemical and biological evaluation of sunflower Meal in poultry diets with references to the effect on the broiler performance. Egypt Poult. Sci., 22:951 969.
- SAS , The S A S Institute (1996) . S A S Institute User's Guide: Statistics, 1996. Edition SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC., USA.
- Smith , K.J. (1986). A review of the nutritional value of sunflower meal. Feedstuffs., 40: 20 22.

- Soliman A. Z .; I .Hassan. ; S . Abou EL Wafa. ; and A.G. Abdellah (1996). Utilization of high fiber sunflower meal with / without Commercial enzymes or stabilized rumen diets. Egypt . Poul. Sci., 16: 51-67
- Tyagi ,P.K.; A.V. Elangovan .;P.K. Tyagi.; S. Kaur .; A. Johri .; and A.B Mandal (2003). Utilization sunflower seed meal along with maize and pearl millet in the diets of broiler . Indian Journal of Poul. Sci., 38:243 - 248
- Valdivie, M. L.; O. Sardinas.; and J. A. Garcia (1982). The utilization of 20% sunflower seed meal in broiler diets. Cuban Agric , Sci, 16: 167 - 171.
- Vetesi, M.; M. Mezes.; and L. Kiss(1999). Using sunflower meal in water fowl diets . Nutr . Abst. And Rev., 68: 726.
- Vieira , S.L.; A. M. Leboute .; and J . Corteline (1992). Anti nutritional evaluation of high fiber sunflower meal. J. Appl. Poul. Res 1:382 - 388.
- Zatari, I. M.; and J. L. Sell(1990) . Sunflower meal as a component of fatSupplemented diets for chickens . Poul. Sci., 69: 1503 -1507.

استخدام بعض مصادر البروتين النباتي في تغدية بداري انتاج اللحم ممدوح عمر عبد السميع ، محمد رضا محمد إبراهيم ، فريد محمد عبد الكريم قسم الإنتاج الحيوانى - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة - الجيزة - مصر

أجريت هذه التجربة بوحدة ابحاث تغذية الدواجن - كلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرةعلي عدد ٠ ؛ ٥ كتكوت أربور ايكرز غير مجنس عمر يوم حيث قسمت الطيور الي تسعة مجاميع متساوية العدد (قسمت كل مجموعة الي ثلاث مكررات بكل منها ٢٠ كتطوت) وذلك لدراسة تاثير إحلال أي من الأوكارا (أحد مخلفات التصنيع الغذائي لفول الصويا) أو كسب عباد الشمس بنسب ٢٠، ٤٠، ٠٠، ٨٠ % لكل منيما بدلا من كسب فول الصويا على الأداء الأنتاجي و معاملات هضم المركبات الغذائية و الكفاءة الإقتصادية لدجاج التسمين . غذيت الطيور علي علائق بادئ (١ – ؛ اسابيع) تحتوي علي ٢٣ % بروتين خام و ٢١٠٠ ك ك طاقة ممثلة /كجم عليقة أما مرحلة الناهي (من ٥ - ٧ أسابيع)احتوت علائقها علي ٢٠ % بروتين خام و ٢٢٠٠ ك.ك/ طاقة ممثلة / كجم عليقة و تم تربية الطيور تحت نفس الظروف من الرعاية والمعاملات البيطرية حتى الأسبوع السابع من العمر.

وقد اوضحت نتائج التجربة الاتي: ١ - المجموعة التي غذيت على عليقة أحل نها كسب عباد الشمس محل كسب الصويا بنسبة ٢٠ أو ٤٠

% سجلت افضل وزن حي ولم تختلف معنويا مقارنة بمجموعة المقارنة.

٢ - أدي إحلال الأوكار ا محل كسب فول الصويا عند المستويات المختلفة إلى إنخفاض كمية الغذاء المأكول معنويا مقارنة بمجموعة المقارنة . أيضا أدي إحلال كسب عباد الشمس محل كسب فول الصويا بنسب ٠٠ ، ١٠ % إلي إنخفاض كمية الغذاء المأكول ولكن هذا الإنخفاض لم يكن معنويا مقارنة بمجموعة المقارنة.

٣ - لم يكن هناك فروق معنوية بين قيم معامل تحويل الغذاء عند إحلال أي من الأوكار اأو كسب عباد الشمس بمستوي ٢٠ ، ٢٠ ، ٢٠ % بدلا من كسب فول الصويا مقارنة بمجموعة المقارنة.

؛ - أفضل معامل هضم للبروتين لوحظ مع المجموعة التي غذيت على عليقة أحلت الأوكار ا فيها محل كسب فول الصويا عند مستوي ٦٠ ، ٦٠ % كما سجل أفضل معامل هضم لمستخلص الإثير مع المجموعة التي غذيت على عليقة أحلت فيها الأوكارا محل كسب الصويا بنسبة ١٠%.

٥ - أظهرت نتائج التحليل الإحصائي لبيانات ميزان النتروجين انه لا توجد اختلافات معنوية بين المعاملات الأربعة التي أحلت فيها الأوكارا محل كسب الصويا بينما كان هناك أختلافات معنوية بسين المعاملات الاربعة التي أحل فيها كسب عباد الشمس محل كسب الصويا مقارنة بمجموعة المقارنة.

٦ - سجلت اعلى كفاءة اقتصادية للمعاملة التي احلت فيه الأوكار ا محل كسب الصويا بنسبة ٨٠ % حيث أدت الى خفض متوسطقيمة تكلفة الكيلو جرام وزن حي وزيادة الكفاءة الإقتصادية.