Inhibition of Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> Production by Bacteria

<sup>2</sup> Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University

El-Melegy, Kh. M.<sup>1</sup>; A. F. Abdel-Salam<sup>1</sup>; Y. M. Abdel - Shafea<sup>1</sup>; A. S. Abdel-Rhman<sup>1</sup>; Seham Abdel - Shafi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Egypt



### ABSTRACT

Aflatoxins (AFs) contamination in food is a serious problem in the world. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>), produced by *Aspergillusflavus*, is secondary metabolite, highly toxic and carcinogenic. The reduction of AFs contamination in food and feed products could be achieved by some microorganisms. In this study,*Lactobacillus plantarum* ATCC 14917, *Lactobacillus curvatus* ATCC 1136, *Bacillus megateirum* A.F.10, *Bacillus subtitles* A.F.12and commercial probiotic were used to inhibit AFB<sub>1</sub> production on yeast extract sucrose medium (YES) and on corn. All bacterial strain inhibited*A. flavus* production of AFB<sub>1</sub> on YES media and corn. Also, commercial probiotics hadthe ability to inhibit *A. flavus* growth and its production of AFB<sub>1</sub> on corn. The most effective bacteria was*B. megaterium* A.F.10. It inhibited the fungal growth with 12 mm inhibition zone and inhibits AFB<sub>1</sub> production 100% by HPLC on YES media. Determination of AFB<sub>1</sub> production by HPLC on corn showed that *B. megaterium* inhibitedthe production by 69.81% followed by commercial probiotics (59.62%).Commercial probiotics and *B. megaterium* had a synergistic effect in inhibition of AFB<sub>1</sub> production and *in vitro* digestion of corn. **Keywords:** *Aspergillusflavus*, aflatoxin, *Lactobacilli*, inhibition.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Aflatoxins (AFs) are mycotoxins produced as secondary metabolites by *Aspergillusflavus* and *Aspergillusparasiticus*. These fungi grow on certain foods and feeds producingAFs.AFB<sub>1</sub> is one of the most serious mycotoxins for human and livestock. The AFs contaminated diets lead to many hazard effects on humans and animals (death; reduce the production and reproduction; mutagenic, carcinogenic and teratogenic effects and immunotoxicity). Several strategies have been proposed to inactivate and detoxify AFs including physical, biological and chemical methods (FAO, 2001; Shehata, 2002; Zaki *et al.*, 2008; Shehata 2010, 2012; Shehata *et al.*, 2009; Eckhardt *et al.*, 2014 and El-Melegy *et al.*, 2015).

Chemical and physical detoxification methods have undesirable health effects and high cost of equipment (Basappa and Shantha, 1996). Therefore, the biological methods by using beneficial bacteria are suitable and safe to reduce AFs in contaminated media (Phillips *et al.*, 1994 and Farzaneh *et al.*, 2012). Probiotic bacteria species belonging to *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus* have been reported to enhance the beneficial intestinal probiotic microflora, animal performance and health (Fritts *et al.*, 2000 and Transito *et al.*, 2011).

Moreover, the probiotic protect against food mutagens such as heterocyclic amines, nitrosocompounds and AFs. Probiotics inhibit the pathogenic bacteria in gastrointestinal tract of animals and humans. The application of bacteria for the AFs remediation take short time.Microorganisms (yeasts, molds and bacteria) have been screened for their ability to modify or inactivate mycotoxins.Inhibition of mold growth in the presence of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) has been reported. Effect of several LABon mold growth and mycotoxin production has also been described (Zaki *et al.*, 1992 and Gomah *et al.*, 2009).

AFs accumulation in potato dextrose broth medium and liquid minimal medium was almost totally (more than 98%) inhibited by co-cultivation with *Bacillusmegaterium*. Growth was also reduced (Qing

Konget al., 2014).*Latobacilluscurvatus*HBO2 could inhibit growth of fungi. It was cultured on doubledlayered agar or in liquid anerobic cultivation medium (Dong-meiet al., 2008). Also,*Bacillus subtilis* could considerably remediate aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> from nutrient broth culture and pistachio nut by 85.66% and 95% respectively (Farzanehet al., (2012).

The aim of the current study was to: i-inhibit*A*. *flavus* growth and mycotoxin productionon YES medium& corn, ii- improvement*in vitro* dry and organic matter disappearance of corn by different bacteria.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Bacterial strains and culture conditions:**

(a) Commercial probioticwas supporting of general Pharma Company. It is considered as a probiotic contain the following ingredients: *Lactobacillus sp.* and *Bacillus subtilus*( $4 \times 10^{12}$  CFU/g), (b) *Lactobacillus plantarum* ATCC 14917, (c)*Lactobacillus curvatus* ATCC 1136, (d) *Bacillus subtiles* A.F 12 and (e) *Bacillus megaterium* A.F 10 obtained from Dr. Abdel-Salam, A.F., Regional Center for Food and Feed, ARC, Giza, Egypt (Table 1). The purity of the strains was confirmed by Gram-staining. The *L. plantarum* and *L. curvatus* were grown in DeMan Rogosa Sharpe (MRS) agar at 37°C for 24h.;they were stored in MRS broth at -20°C containing 20% (v/v) glycerol. *B. subtiles* and *B. megaterium* were grown in nutrient agar and stored at -20°C in nutrient broth containing 20% glycerol.

### **Standard bacterial inoculants:**

Standard bacterial inoculants were prepared by inoculation 1% v/v of *L.plantarum*, *L. curvatus*, *B.megaterium* and *Bacillus subtiles* in conical flask containing 50 mL of MRS broth pH 6.2 for 24 h. at 37°C. Achieved viable cells count (CFU) was determined by serial dilution and subsequent enumeration on MRS agar.

# Inhibition of *A. flavus* growth on solid media by bacterial strains:

The bacteria were grown on nutrient agar medium for 24 h. at 37°C. Agar discs 7 mm in diameter were cut off by a cork borer and transferred to the surface of agar plates freshly cultivated by *A. flavus* on CzapexDox agar media. The inhibition zone diameter was determined according to Valgas *et al.*, (2007).

Table 1.Sources	of	tested	bacteria.
-----------------	----	--------	-----------

Tested bacteria	Source	Accession number	
1- Commercial probiotic (Lactobacillus sp. and Bacillus subtilus)	Pharma company	-	
2- Lactobacillus plantarum	Mercens*	ATCC 14917	
3- Lactobacillus curvatus	Mercens	ATCC 1136	
4- Bacillus megaterium	Mercens	A.F. 10	
5- Bacillus subtitles	Mercens	A.F. 12	

\* Obtainedfrom Dr. Abdel-Salam, A.F., Regional Center for Food and Feed, ARC, Giza, Egypt

### Inhibition of aflatoxin $B_1$ production by *A. flavus* on YES medium:

The ability of commercial probiotic,L. plantarum, L. curvatus, Bacillus subtiles and B. *megaterium*to inhibit aflatoxin  $B_1$  production by A. flavusstrain NRRL 3145 was obtained from central lab. Of residues in agriculture products, Agric. Pesticides research center, Dokki, Egypt, and investigated by the stimultaneous antagonism assay as described by Munimbazi and Bullerman (1998). Hundred ml portions of YES medium were sterilized at 121°C for 15 minute in 250 mLErlennmeyer flasks. Six treatments : 1-A. *flavus* + 10 g commercial probiotics (4 x  $10^{12}$  cells/g); 2-A. flavus + 10 mL L. plantarum (8 x  $10^9$  cfu/mL); 3- A. flavus + 10 mL L. curvatus(8 x 10<sup>9</sup> cfu/mL; 4-A. flavus + 10 mL Bacillus megaterium(8 x 10<sup>9</sup> cfu/mL); 5- A.  $flavus + 10 \text{ mL}Bacillus subtilis(8 x 10^{9} \text{cfu/mL}) \text{ and } 6$ -A. flavus only (control) were used, three flasks /each treatment. A. flavusof each treatment was 1 mL of fungal spores suspension containing 107 spores/mL.All flasks were incubated at 28° C and analyzed for aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production after 8 days of incubation.

# Inhibition of a flatoxin $B_1$ production by *A. flavus* on corn:

Hundred g of free aflatoxincorn was added to 400 mL tap water in Erlenmeyer flasks (2 L.)(3 replicate/each treatment). The content was heated to start boiling and the free water was drained. All flasks were autoclaved at 121°C for 15 minute. The flasks were left until cooling, and then inoculated with 20 mL of *A. flavus* spores suspension containing  $10^7$  spores/mL.The treatments were: 1- *A. flavus* + 10 g commercial probiotics;2- *A. flavus* + 10 mL*L. plantarum*; 3-*A. flavus* + 10 mL *L. curvatis*; 4- *A. flavus* + 10 mL *B. megaterium*; 5-*A. flavus* + 10 mL *Bacillus subtillus* and 6- *A. flavus* of control). All flasks were incubated at 28° C and analyzed for aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production after 8 days of incubation.

The synergistic effect of mixed probiotics + B. megaterium on inhibition of  $AFB_1$  production wascarried out. The treatments were: 1- A. flavus + 10 g commercial probiotics; 2- A. flavus + 10 mLB. *megaterium*; 3- *A. flavus* + 10 g commercial probiotics + 10 mL*B. megaterium* and 4- *A. flavus* only (control). All flasks were incubated at 28° C and analyzed for aflatoxin  $B_1$  production after 8 days of incubation.

### Determination of AFB1 on YESmedia and corn:

At the end of incubation period (8 days),  $AFB_1$  in YES medium or corn was extracted by adding 100 ml chloroform to each culture flask, then shaken for 15 minutes on a wirst-action shaker. After phase separation the chloroform layer was removed and the extraction repeated with additional 100 ml chloroform. Combined extracts were dehydrated over granular anhydrous sodium sulphate and evaporated to dryness at 60°C in a water bath with liquid nitrogen. Residues were dissolved in 1 ml of water : methnol : acetontril (54 : 29 : 17, v/v/v) and analysis. The total aflatoxins content in liquid medium and rumen content were determined according to AOAC (2006) method using monoclonal antibody columns for total AFs (VICAM Science Technology, Watertown, MA, USA). AFs identification was performed by a modification of the HPLC-Afla test procedure Agillent 1200 Series USA. HPLC equipment with two pumps, column C18, Lichrospher 100 RP-18, (5 µm x 25 cm) was used. The mobile phase consisted of water:methnol :acetontril (54 : 29 : 17, v/v/v) at flow rate of 1 ml / minute. The excitation and emission wavelengths for all AFs were 362 and 460nm (Flourcenses detector), respectively.

# *In vitro* dry and organic matter disappearance of corn:

In vitro dry matter disappearance (IVDMD) and in vitro organic matter disappearance (IVOMD) of corn (corn control, A. flavus only; A. flavus + commercial probiotics; A. flavus + Bacillus megaterium; A. flavus + commercial probiotics + Bacillus megaterium) byramrumen fluid were carried out and determined according to Tilley and Terry (1963) and modification suggested by Marten and Barnes, (1979). The rumen fluid were collected from 3 adult rams were fed on clover hay for 20 days.

### Statistical analysis:

Data of the experiments were statistically analyzed using the General Linear Model Program of SAS (1996). Significant differences between treatments means were tested by Duncan'S Multiple Range Test (Duncan, 1955).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 1- Inhibition of A. flavus growth by bacterial strains:

L.plantarum (ATCC 14917), L. curvatus (ATCC 1136), B. megaterum (A.F.10), B.subtilis (A.F.12) and commercial probiotics (Table 1) were able to inhibit the growth of A. flavus on solid medium (Table 2). The best inhibition was occurred by B. megaterium followed by L. plantarium. These results agree with results of Corsettiet al., (1998) and Colorettiet al., (2007), who reported that lactic acid bacteria (LAB) inhibit mold growth and mycotoxin production. Also, Gomahet al., (2009) who found that growth of A. flavus was slightly inhibited with the presence of 3 strains of Lactobacillus spp. LatobacilluscurvatusHBO2 could inhibit growth of

fungi cultured on doubled-layered agar or in liquid anerobic cultivation medium (Dong-mei*et al.*, 2008). Inhibition of mold growth by LAB may be due to their action as bio-preservative organisms. Their preserving effect mainly relates to the formation of lactic acid, acetic acid and hydrogen peroxide; competition of nutrients, and the production of bacteriocins (Lindgren and Dobrogosz, 1990; Karunaratne*et al.*, 1990).

 Table 2.Inhibitory effect of bacterial strains on the growth of A. flavuson solid medium.

Bacterial strains	Inhibition zone (mm)
1- Lactobacillus plantarum	++ (8mm)
2-Lactobacillus curvatus	++ (7 mm)
3-Bacillus megaterium	+++ (12 mm)
4- Bacillus subtitles	++ (5 mm)

# 2-Inhibition aflatoxin $B_1$ production by *A. flavus* on YES media and corn:

The measured of  $AFB_1$  concentration using HPLC showed that all tested bacteria significantly (P<0.05) decreased  $AFB_1$  concentration in YES medium and corn (Table 3). The % of decrease ranged from 91.27 to 100% in YES medium and from 49.96 to 69.81 % in corn. The highest decrease in  $AFB_1$  production in

corn was occurred by B. megaterium (69.81%) followed by commercial propiotics (59.62%). The synergistic effect of B. megaterium mixed with commercial probiotic on inhibition of AFB1 production was observed (Table 4). These results are reasonable to that of Gomahet al. (2009) who reported that the amounts of AFB<sub>1</sub>produced by A. flavus in the presence of Lactobacilli (5 strain) were reduced by 96.3 to 98.3% compared with control after 10 and 20 days of incubation, respectively. Also, they found that production of AFB1 by A. parasiticius was almost completely inhibited (98.8 to 99.99%) by all the investigated Lactobacilli. Also, Farzanehet al. (2012) reported that Bacillussubtilis could considerably remediate AFB<sub>1</sub> from nutrient broth culture and pistachio nut by 85.66% and 95% respectively. Moreover, Qing Konget al., (2014) reported that AFs accumulation in potato dextrose broth liquid medium and liquid minimal medium was almost totally (more 98 %) inhibited by co-cultivation with than Bacillusmegaterium. Reduction of AFs production in liquid media, milk and intestine by LAB may be due to adsorb of AFs by LAB. Bacterial cell wall binds the toxin with non-covalent weak bonds accompanied with some electrostatic attraction through lactinine like protein, polysaccharides and peptidoglucan (Gratzet al., 2005).

Table 3.Inhibition of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>production of *A. flavus* by commercial probiotic and bacteria.

Treatments	Inhibition of aflatoxin Barroduction (%)*				
Yeast extract sucrose medium (YES)					
1- Control (A. flavus only)	$a 31.50 \pm 1.25$	0.0			
2-A. flavus + commercial probiotic	$2.75 \pm 0.25$	91.27			
3- A. flavus + Lactobacillus plantarum	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	100			
4-A. flavus+Lactobacillus curvatus	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	100			
5-A. flavus+Bacillus megaterium	$0.0 \pm 0.0$	100			
6-A. flavus+ Bacillus subtitles Corn (Zea maize)	$\begin{array}{c} c\\ 0.0\pm0.0 \end{array}$	100			
1-Control (A. flavus only)	$a = 13.25 \pm 0.25$ e	0.0			
2- A. flavus + commercial probiotic	$5.35 \pm 0.23$ d	59.62			
3- A. flavus + Lactobacillus plantarum	$5.63 \pm 0.13$ b	57.51			
4- A. flavus+Lactobacillus curvatus	$6.63 \pm 0.13$ f	49.96			
5-A. flavus+Bacillus megaterium	$4.0 \pm 0.0$ c	69.81			
6-A. flavus+ Bacillus subtitles	$6.25 \pm 0.25$	52.83			

\*Inhibition of aflatoxin  $B_1$  production (%) =aflatoxin  $B_1$  concentration of control (*A. flavus* only) -aflatoxin $B_1$  concentration of *A. flavus* treated with bacterial culture /aflatoxin  $B_1$  concentration of control x 100.

a,b,c,d,e,fMeans in the some row bearing different letters differ significantly (p<0.05) n=3.

# 3. In vitro dry and organic matter disappearance of corn:

In vitro dry matter disappearance (IVDMD) and in vitro organic matter disappearance (IVOMD) were significantly (P<0.05) decreased in control (fungus only). These results agreed with those reported by Westlake*et al.* (1989), who found reduction in digestion of alfalfa hay contaminated with AFs by ovine rumen fluid (*in vitro*).They suggested that microbial activity was partially inhibited.

Addition of commercial probitoics, *B.* megaterium or mixed of them significantly (P<0.05) increased IVDMD and IVOMD of corn. The highest value of IVDMD (80.49%) and IVOMD (81.28%) were found in mixed commercial probiotics + *B.* megateriumin compared to 53.03% and 81.28%, respectively of control (fungus only).Increasing of IVDMD and IVOMD of corn by addition may be due to decreasing of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> concentration in corn (Table 3) and rumen fluid (Table 5)...

Table	4.Synergistic	effect	of	mixed	commerc	cial
	probiotics +	B.mega	iteri	<i>um</i> on	inhibition	of
	aflatoxin B <sub>1</sub> r	producti	ion i	n corn		

Items	Aflatoxin B <sub>1</sub> concentration (ppm)	Inhibition of aflatoxin B <sub>1</sub> production (%)*
1- Control (fungus only)	a	
	$13.95 \pm 0.23$	0.0
2-Fungs + 10 g commercial	b	
probiotics.	$5.65 \pm 0.23$	59.50
	с	
3- Fungs + 10 ml <i>Bacillus</i>	$3.86 \pm 0.21$	72.33
megaterum	1	
	a	
4-Fungs + 10 g commercial probiotics + 10 ml	$2.98 \pm 0.23$	78.64
Bacillus megaterium		

\*Inhibition of aflatoxin  $B_1$  production (%)= aflatoxin  $B_1$ concentration of control (*A. flavus* only)-aflatoxin*B*<sub>1</sub>concentration of *A. flavus* treated with bacterial culture /aflatoxin  $B_1$ concentration of control x 100.

a,b,c,dMeans in the some row bearing different letters differ significantly (p<0.05)n=3.

Table 5. Effect of mixture of bacteria on dry and organic matter disappearance of corn (*in vitro*) and aflatoxin  $B_1$  content in rumen fluids.

Items	<i>In vitro</i> dry	<i>In vitro</i> organic	Aflatoxin B <sub>1</sub>
	matter	matter	content in
	disappearance	disappearance	rumen fluid
	(%)	(%)	(ppm)
1- Control (fungus only)	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	C 4 22 C 2 25	a 7 (05 + 100
2- Fungs + 10 g commercial probiotics.	$53.03 \pm 2.64$	$64.22 \pm 2.25$	$7.685 \pm 188$
	b	b	b
3- Fungs + 10ml Bacillus megaterium	$72.75 \pm 0.94$	$73.01 \pm 0.6$	$2.630 \pm 190$
	ab	a	c
4- Fungs + 10 g commercialprobiotics + 10 ml Bacillus megaterium	$76.71 \pm 0.98$ a $80.49 \pm 1.39$	$78.69 \pm 0.99$ a $81.28 \pm 1.45$	$1.125 \pm 375$ d • .940 ± 60

a,b,c,dMeans in the some row bearing different letters differ significantly (p<0.05)n=3.

### CONCLUSION

The results of the present study showed that all bacteria (*Lactobacillus plantarum*, *Lactobacillus curvatus*, *Bacillus megaterium*, *Bacillus subtitles*) and commercial probiotic have higher ability on inhibition of *A. flavus* growth and its AFB<sub>1</sub> production. The best treatment was *B. megaterium* and commercial probiotics in inhibition ofaflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> production on corn and improving its digestion *in vitro*. Future studies may test the inoculation of agriculture crops by these bacteria at the field harvest and post-harvest stages to reduce aflatoxin in grains, and also to extend the shelf-life of food and feedstuffs.

#### REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (2006) Association of Official Analytical Chemists.Official Methods of Analysis, 18<sup>th</sup> ed., Washington, D.C.
- Basappa, S.C. and Shantha, T. (1996) Methods for detoxification of aflatoxin in foods and feeds- a critical appraisal. J. Food Sci. and Technol. 33, 95-107.
- Coloretti, F.S., Armaforte, E., Chivari, E., Grazia, L. and Zambonelli, C. (2007) Antifungal activity of *Lactobacilli* isolated from salami. FEMS Microbial Lett. 271, 245-250.

- Corsetti, A., Gobbetti, M., Rossi, J. and Damiani, P. (1998) Antimold activity of sour dough Lactic acid bacteria: identification of a mixture of organic acids produced by Lactobacillus sanfranciso CBI. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 50, 253-256.
- Dong-Mei, C., Hong-Ying, Z., Cheng-Hua, H., Sheng J. and Hai-bin, Z (2008) Inhibition of growth and aflatoxin production of *Aspergillusflavus* by *Lactobacillus curvatus* HBO2.J. of Nanjing Agricultural Univ.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955) Multiple Range and Multiple F Tests. Biometric, 11, 1-42.
- Eckhardt, J.C., Santurio, R.A., DalPozzo, M., Alves, S.H. and Ferreiro, L. (2014) Efficacy of Brazilian calcium montmorillonite against toxic effects of dietary aflatoxins on broiler reared to market. Br. Poul. Sci. 55 (2), 215-220.
- El-Melegy, Kh.M., Shehata, S.A., Abdel-Salam, A.F. and Eman M. Ragheb (2015) Influence of bentonite and ascorbic acid on minimizing the toxicity of aflatoxin B1 in chicks diets. Egypt. Poult. Sci. J. 35 (II), 527-542.
- FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2001) Manual on the application of the HACCP system in mycotoxin prevention and control. FAO Food and Nutrition Paper, No. 73. Rome: FAO.

- Farzaneh, M., Shi, Z., Ghassempour, A., Sedaghat, N. Ahmadzadeh, M., Mirabolfathy, M. and Javan-Nikkhah, M. (2012) Aflatoxin B1 degradation by Bacillus subtillus UTBSP1 isolated from pistachio nuts of iran. Food control, 23, 100-106.
- Fritts, C., Kersey, J., Moti, M., Kroger, E., Yan, F., Si, J., Jiang, Q., Campos, M., Waldroup, A. and Waldroup, P. (2000) Bacillus subtillus C-3102 (Calsporin) improves live performance and microbiological status of broiler chickens. J. Appl. Poult. Res., 9, 149-155.
- Gomah, N.H., Ragab, W.S. and Bullerman, L.B. (2009) Inhibition of fungal growth and aflatoxin B1 production by some *Lactobacillus* strains. Assiut J. of Agric. Sci. 40 (4), 27-36.
- Gratz, A., Mykkanen, H. and El-nezami, H. (2005) Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> binding by a mixture of *Lactobacillus* and *Propionobacterium: in vitro* versus ex vivo. J. Food Protection, 11, 2470-2474.
- Karunaratne, A., Wezenberg, E. and Bullerman, L.B. (1990) Inhibition of mold growth and aflatoxin production by Lactobacillus spp. J. Food Prot. 53: 230-236.
- Lindgren, S.E. and Dobrogosz, W. (1990) Antagonistic activities of lactic acid bacteria in feed and food fermentations.FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 87, 149-164.
- Marten,C.C. and Barnes, R.F. (1979) Prediction of energy of forage with in vitro rumen fermentation and fungal enzyme system, in: standardization of analytical methodology for feeds. Pigden, W.J., Balch C.C. and Graham, M. (ed.) Inter. Devel.Res. Center, Ottawa, Canda, IDRC-134.
- Munimbazi, C. and Bullerman, L.B. (1998) Inhibition of aflatoxin production of A. parasiticus NRRL2999 by Bacillus pumilus. Mycopathologia,140,z 163-169.
- Phillips, T.D., Clement, B.A. and Douglas, L.P. (1994) Approaches to reduction of aflatoxins in foods and feeds.In Eaton, D. L. &Groopman, J.D. (Eds.). The toxicology of aflatoxins: Human health, veterinary and agricultural significance, pp. 383-408. New York: Academic Press.
- Qing Kong, Chen Chi, Jiujing Yu, Shihua Shan, Qiyu Li, Qianting Li, Bin Guan, William C. Niermin and Joan W. Bennett (2014) The inhibitory effect of *Bacillus megaterium* on aflatoxin and cyclopiazonic acid biosynthetic pathway gene expression in *Aspergillusflavus*. Applied Microbiol. And Biotechnol., 98 (11), 5161-5172.

- SAS® (1996) User'S Guide: Stastics, Version 6. 12 Edition. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.
- Shehata, S.A. (2002) Detoxification of mycotoxin contaminated animal feedstuffs. Ph.D Thesis, Zagazig Univ., Fac. of Agric., Egypt.
- Shehata, S.A. (2010) Effect of adding *Nigella sativa* and vitamin C to rabbit diet contaminated with aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>. Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds, 15 (3), 567-575.
- Shehata, S.A. (2012) Effect of chlorophyllin on reducing the toxicity of aflatoxin B1 in growing rabbit diets. Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds, 13 (1), 137-148.
- Shehata, S.A., El-Melegy, Kh.M. and Ebrahim, M.S.(2009) Toxicity reduction aflatoxin B1 by vitamin C in fish. J. of the Arabian Aquaculture Society, 4 (2), 73-86.
- Tilley, J.M.A. and Terry, R.A. (1963) A two stage technique for in vitro digestion of forage crops. J. Br. Grassland Soc., 18: 104-111.
- Transito, L.S., Garcia, J.D.R., Roman, J.L.A., Martinez, E.M. and Albores, A.M. (2011) Effect of citric acid supplemention diets on aflatoxin degradation, growth performance and serum parameters in broiler chickens. Arch. Med. Vet., 43, 215-222.
- Valgas, C., De Souza, S.M., Smania, E.F.A. and Smania, A. (2007) Screening methods to determine antibacterial activity of natural products. Braz. J. Microbiol. 38, 369-380.
- Westlake, K., Mackie, R.I. and Dutton, M.F. (1989) *In vitro* metabolism of mycotoxins by bacterial, protozoal and ovine ruminal fluid preparations. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 25 (1-2): 169-178.
- Zaki, M.S., Nevin E. Sharaf., HendRashed., Susan O. Mostafa and Olfat M. Fawzi (2008) Diminution of aflatoxicosis in *Tilapia nioltica* fish by dietary supplementation with Fix in toxin and *Nigella sativa* oil. American-Eurasian J. Agric& Environ. Sci. 3 (2), 211-215.
- Zaki, N., Shoukry, Y.M.R., Kheadr, E.E. and El-Deep, S.A. (1992) Effect of sodium chloride and some lactic acid bacteria on aflatoxin production and growth rate of *Aspergillusflavus*. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci. 20, 359-369.

تثبيط انتاج الافلاتوكسين ب ١ بواسطة البكتريا خالد مصطفى المليجى ' ،أحمد فريد عبد السلام '، ياسر محمد عبد الشفيع '، عادل سالم عبد الرحمن 'و سهام عبد الشافى ' ' المركز الاقليمى للأغذية والأعلاف – مركز البحوث الزراعية – وزارة الزراعة – الجيزة – مصر. ' قسم النبات – كلية العلوم – جامعة الزقازيق – الزقازيق – مصر.

تلوث الأغذية بالافلاتوكسين من المشاكل الخطيرة في العالم. الافلاتوكسين B1 ناتج ثانوى لفطر الاسبرجلس فلافس وله سمية وتاثير سرطانى مرتفع. تقليل تلوث المنتجات الغذائية والأعلاف امكن انجازه بواسطة الكاننات الحية الدقيقة. في هذه الدراسة تم استخدام بكتريا لاكتوباسلس بلانتاروم ، لاكتوباسلس كورفيتس ، باسلس ميجاتيريوم ، باسلس ساتلس و بروبيوتك تجارى لوقف انتاج الافلاتوكسين ب ١ على بيئة مستخلص الخميرة والسكر (YES) والذرة. ثبطت كل سلالات البكتريا انتاج الافلاتوكسين B1من فطر الاسبرجلس فلافس على بيئة مستخلص والذرة. كانت بكتريا الباسلس ميجاتيريوم الافضل تاثيرا حيث ثبطت نمو الطمر وكان قطر الاسبرجلس فلافس على بيئة مستخلص والذرة. والسكر (YES) والذرة. ثبطت كل سلالات البكتريا انتاج الافلاتوكسين B1من فطر الاسبرجلس فلافس على بيئة مستخلص الافلاتوكسينB1 الماسي ميجاتيريوم الافضل تاثيرا حيث ثبطت نمو الفطر وكان قطر المنطقة الخالية من النمو ٢ ملم كما خفضت انتاج الافلاتوكسينB1 النهي ميئة (YES) والذرة والتى تم قياسها بواسطة التحليل الكروماتوجرافي السائل (HPLC). والفلاتوكسين الذرة والمقدر باستخدام P100) والذرة والتى تم قياسها بواسطة التحليل الكروماتوجرافي السائل (HPC). وحد تأثير تعاونى بين الذرة والمقدر باستخدام والدين والفلاتوكسين B1 من التقليل الكروماتوجرافي السائل (عار 9.00). وحد تأثير تعاونى بين